

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/600,379	06/20/2003	Steve B. Taylor	2236.001	7549		
7590 06/30/2004			EXAMINER			
Ray R. Regan, Esq.						
Law Office of Ray R. Regan, P.A. P.O. Box 1442			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Corrales, NM						
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/600,379	TAYLOR, STEVE B.			
Advisory Notion	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Victor MacArthur	3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the date from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 June 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-22.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23-31.					
. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		GREGORY L BINDA PRIMARY EXAMINER			



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment filed on 06/04/2004 is non-compliant because the status of claim 23 is not listed. The claim status should be "(canceled)" in accordance with the applicant's remarks (p.9, para.2). See MPEP §714.